

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10444 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 16.5.2017

Constable Ajit Kumar and others Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Punjab and another Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners claim the benefit of past service as SPOs on the basis of a Division Bench judgment dated 31.8.2010 (Annexure-P-6), passed by this Court in Harbans Lal Versus The State of Punjab and others. All the petitioners retired in the year 2015. The petitioners have already made a representation dated 23.3.2017 (Annexure-P-12) to respondents, but to no avail. The short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondents to decide the said representation dated 23.3.2017 (Annexure-P-12) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to both the respondents to consider the said legal notice of the petitioners dated 23.3.2017 (Annexure-P-12) and decide the same after considering the Division Bench judgment of this Court in Harbans Lal's case (supra) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No