

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10425 of 2017

Date of Decision:15.05.2017

Satpal Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Perusal of the writ papers, it is evident that the petitioner has not made any representation/application before the competent authority so as to seek direction. Thus, writ petition is not maintainable. Therefore, liberty is granted to the petitioner to make necessary representation/application before the competent authority for redressal of his grievance and if such representation/application is made by the petitioner, the same shall be considered by the concerned respondent.

With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.

15.05.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No