

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(258)

CWP-10410-2017

Decided on: May 30, 2017.

Kuldeep Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate, for respondent No. 1 & 2.

Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner has already availed alternative remedy before the civil Court as well as the Consumer Forum for redressal of his grievance involved in this writ petition. In view of said circumstances, this petition is not maintainable.

Disposed of.

However, it will be open to the petitioner to seek any interim relief or interim temporary injunction in his suit for mandatory injunction, if permissible under law.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

May 30, 2017

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No