

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1040 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 23rd January, 2017**

Ms. Rajshree

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. C.B.Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to take the decision on the application dated 16.01.2017, submitted by the petitioner.

The petitioner had applied for the post of PGT Mathematics but she could not appear on the date fixed for scrutiny of documents. Thereafter, the Commission is stated to call certain other candidates for scrutiny of their documents.

The precise grievance of the petitioner is that since in any case a second date has been given for scrutiny of documents, she should also be allowed to do the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in this context the petitioner has filed application (Annexure P-8) to respondent No.2 and prays that the petitioner would be satisfied if respondent no.2 is directed to decide the said application expeditiously by passing speaking order thereon.

CWP No.1040 of 2017 (O&M)

-2-

I find it to be a fair request.

In these circumstances, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the said application by passing a speaking order within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

A copy of this order be given dasti to the learned counsel for the petitioner under the signatures of Bench Secretary.

23rd January, 2017

mamta

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No