

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10353 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 15, 2017

Om Pal Singh Dhaka

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Gurdeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that when the petitioner retired from service, a charge-sheet was pending against him, which was dropped on 09.08.2016. It is claimed that the part of DCRG and GIS has been withheld on account of the pendency of the said charge-sheet. The petitioner has already issued a legal notice dated 07.02.2017 (Annexure P-2).

The short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondent Nos.1 and 2 to consider the legal notice of the petitioner dated 07.02.2017 (Annexure P-2) and decide the same by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, as requested, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.1 and 2 to consider the legal notice of the petitioner dated 07.02.2017 (Annexure P-2) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order by passing a speaking order.

Needless to say that if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to the remaining pensionary benefits, the same shall be released to the petitioner forthwith along with interest as per government instructions.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 15, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No