

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10330 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 15, 2017

Er. Harish Chander Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on attaining the age of superannuation, the petitioner has retired from service 31.07.2002. Earlier, the petitioner had approached this Court by way of filing CWP No.18549 of 2013, claiming that his previous service from 01.12.1969 to 24.01.1974 be treated as qualifying service for grant of pensionary benefits. The said petition was allowed by this Court vide order dated 05.01.2016. Now, the petitioner has been given the said benefits. The said petition was filed after 11 years of the retirement of the petitioner.

Now, the petitioner has filed second writ petition (present petition) in the year 2017, claiming that by counting his previous service, he should be granted notional promotion.

I am of the view that the petitioner should have made the said claim in the first petition. He had retired from service in the year 2002. He

has approached for notional promotion after 15 years of his retirement. It is not recurring cause of action. Thus, there is no merits in the present petition. As such, the same is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 15, 2017
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No