

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10292-2017

Date of decision : 01.06.2017

Bhagwan Singh and others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajbir Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3, though on the basis of the record submits that before the purported action taken under Section 329 (4) of the Haryana Municipal Corporation Act, the petitioners were served with the show cause notice, but the same was not accepted, therefore, the order dated 02.05.2017 sealing the premises, has been passed.

At this stage, Mr. Sarfaraj Hussain, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, on instructions from their clients, submits that they will not carry on the meat business in the premises, but carry on the business, which is permissible in law.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, the order dated

02.05.2017, is hereby set aside and the premises is ordered to be de-sealed.

However, owing to the undertaking given by the petitioners, the petitioners shall not carry on the business of meat and shall seek the permission, if necessary, for carrying on the other business, from the Municipal Corporation, Panipat.

Disposed of, accordingly.

01.06.2017
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

	✓
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
	✓
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No