

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13801 of 2016

Date of Decision: 31.01.2017

Brij Bhushan

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & Another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

No one appeared on 10.11.2016 on behalf of the petitioner when the following order was passed:-

“Learned State counsel points out that the Matriculation Certificate obtained by the petitioner is not recognized by the State of Punjab and, therefore, he is ineligible.

Due to non-appearance of learned counsel for the petitioner, adjourned to 31.1.2017.

No one appears today to explain the position or rebut the contentions of the State Counsel. Mr. Anil Sharma informs the Court that the alleged Matriculation Certificate obtained by the petitioner from the Council of Secondary Education, Mohali, is not recognized by the Council of Boards of School Education. This objection has been taken in the written statement in para 5 and no replication has been filed to rebut the statement. Although the reply was filed on 21.09.2016 it left enough time to present a

counter-affidavit. If the Matriculation Certificate is not acceptable as a qualification from the recognized Boards or Institutions then it cannot be used for the purpose of service benefits including promotion etc. The basic eligibility criteria was Matriculation with Punjabi as stated in para 1 of the preliminary objection to the written statement.

No ground for interference is made out. The petition stands dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

31.01.2017
neeraj

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>