

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13771 of 2016
Date of decision:21.02.2017**

Mehma Singh and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

Financial Commissioner, Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Surjit Singh Swaich, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S.Rangi, Advocate
for the caveator/respondent No.5.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The present writ petition pertains to sanction of mutation.

It is settled law that mutation cannot be kept in abeyance. Once the respondents are owners, the reflection of the ownership has to be recorded in the mutation, therefore, the orders effecting the mutation in favour of the private respondents are perfectly legal and justified, much less do not call for interference.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

February 21, 2017
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No