

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10187 of 2017

Date of Decision:07.11.2017

Baljinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Ravi Nayak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Lokesh Narang, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, petitioner has sought for direction to the respondents to consider her name for compassionate appointment in accordance with policy in vogue.

During pendency of this petition, concerned respondent has considered and rejected the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment by passing an order. Consequently, petition do not survive for consideration.

Accordingly, petition stands disposed of. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to challenge the rejection of her claim for compassionate appointment.

07.11.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No