

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.10121 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: September 05, 2017

Capt. Nanak Chand Sharma & another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Admittedly, pursuant to the order passed by the authority under the Act, petitioners have taken possession of the property. Their grievance is that civil court is proceeding ahead in the matter on the application moved by their daughter-in-law (respondent No.7 herein) under Order 39 Rule 2-A CPC. Under the circumstances, petitioner is at liberty to apprise the court concerned about the provisions of the Act, under which the authority has passed the order. If such plea is raised, it shall be considered by the court concerned. Petition is disposed of.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

September 05, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable: Yes / No