

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10064 of 2017
Date of Decision: 6th October, 2017

Sheela Devi

Financial Commissioner, Punjab and others

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: - HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr.Binat Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

A request for an adjournment is made by proxy counsel on the ground that counsel for the petitioner, who has to argue the case, is not available.

A perusal of the case file shows that from the last five dates, this case is being adjourned for one reason or the other. It appears that learned counsel for the petitioner is not interested in pursuing this case.

In view of the above, present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

6th OCTOBER, 2017
Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>