

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10031 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 11, 2017

Davinder Kumar and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners were paid gratuity in the year 2016-17 and claim interest on delayed payment of gratuity. The petitioners have already served a legal notice dated 15.02.2017 (Annexure P-3).

The short prayer made at this stage is to direct the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 15.02.2017 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider the legal notice of the petitioners dated 15.02.2017 (Annexure P-3) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

Needless to say, if it is found that the petitioners are entitled to interest as per Government instructions. The same shall be released to them forthwith.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 11, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No