

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13602 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:01.08.2017

Mohinder Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Sr. Panel Counsel,
for the respondents-UOI.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has questioned the validity of his transfer from Sonapat to Srinagar vide order dated 27.05.2016 (Annexure P-14). Since there is no interim order, petitioner is stated to have been working in the transfer place i.e. Sri nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in order to address his grievance, petitioner has made representation which is pending consideration before the authority for re-consideration of his transfer. No orders have been passed even to this day. Therefore, concerned respondent is hereby directed to pass a speaking order relating to petitioner's representation in respect of re-transfer to Sonapat or nearby place with reference to various provisions of the transfer policy/standing order which have been quoted by the petitioner in his representation. Petitioner is also directed to make one more effective representation in addition to the existing representation within a period of one week from today. If such representation is made, concerned respondent is directed to pass a speaking order whether petitioner's claim of re-transfer to Sonapat or any other

nearby place to Sonapat would fall within the various clauses of the transfer policy-Standing Orders or not, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of such representation and communicate the decision to the petitioner at the earliest.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

01.08.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No