

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14515 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.07.2017

Santokh Singh

... Petitioner

VS.

Director Land Record, Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate
Mr. Jatinder Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)

Ms. Ambika Luthra, Sr.DAG Punjab

Mr. Raman Mohinder Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2

Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate for respondent No.3

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) This order shall dispose of CWP Nos.14515 & 16415 of 2015;
COCP No.184 of 2017 as the issues are inter-related.

(2) The petitioner has challenged the order dated 03.12.2014 passed
by the Director, Land Records, Punjab in purported exercise of his powers
under Section 42 of the East Punjab Holdings (Consolidation and Prevention
of Fragmentation) Act, 1948.

(3) It is stated by learned counsel for the parties that during the
pendency of this matter, they have entered into a settlement and a
compromise deed dated 19.07.2017 duly signed by both the parties has been
placed on record. The said compromise deed is taken on record and is
marked as Mark 'A'.

(4) In terms of the said compromise, it is agreed that the impugned order may be set aside and the possession as was in existence before passing the impugned order dated 03.12.2014 be restored.

(5) Consequently and in view of the compromise deed (Mark 'A'), the writ petition is allowed; the impugned order dated 03.12.2014 is set aside and the possession, as it existed prior thereto, is restored.

(6) The parties shall abide by the agreed terms and conditions of the compromise deed.

(7) The writ petition(s) are thus disposed of.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

27.07.2017
vishal shonkar

(Sudhir Mittal)
Judge

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes
No