

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

228

CRWP No.970 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:07.09.2017

Rajeev Kumar

... Petitioner(s)

V.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking his release on parole for agriculture purpose.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although an application has been made to the Superintendent of District Jail, Gurugram on 08.08.2017 but it has not been decided as yet despite the judgment of Division Bench of this Court in CRM No.M-34013 of 2009, titled as “**Varun @ Gullu Vs. State of Haryana and others**”, wherein the Superintendent District Jail has been directed to consider the request for parole within 15 days.

Learned State counsel has filed an affidavit of Superintendent, District Jail, Gurugram wherein it is stated that application of the petitioner has not been received and in case the petitioner submits an application along with agriculture land documents regarding his agriculture parole then his case will be initiated as per Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although the petitioner had submitted an application earlier he shall once again prefer an application to respondent No.4 within a period of one week.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 that on receipt of the application of the petitioner for his release on agriculture parole, the same shall be decided in accordance with law within 15 days on its receipt in terms of the judgment of Division Bench of this Court in CrI. Misc. No.M-34013 of 2009, titled as **“Varun @ Gullu Vs. State of Haryana and others”**.

07.09.2017

jt

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No