

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR.W.P. No.784 of 2017 (O&M)
Decided on : 22.09.2017**

Vikram @ Bunty

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present : Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, DAG, Haryana.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

In para. 5. of the reply, it has been stated that the petitioner has not even applied for furlough which is denied by the petitioner who states that appropriate application was moved and there has been no consideration thereon.

Be that as it may, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the petition with a direction to the State to consider this petition as prayer for furlough and take a decision thereon in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

Disposed of.

**(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE**

September 22, 2017

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No