

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.742 of 2017
Date of decision: 04.07.2017**

Sumit Mann

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. N.S.Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Rajiv Narain Raina, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to the respondents for the release of detenue namely Rekha Khanna, who is allegedly illegally detained and confined by respondent Nos.4 & 5 against her will.

2. Reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in Court today, is taken on record. Copy of the same has also been furnished to the opposite counsel.

3. Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 and files his vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Mr. Jain, on instructions from his clients, submits that respondents No.4 & 5 have nothing to do with Ms. Rekha Khanna, daughter of Mr. Subhash Khanna - respondent No.4 any longer.

4. Both the petitioner and the alleged detenue, identified by her counsel, are present in Court and submit that they have married. Ms. Rekha

Khanna is working as Deputy Manager in Axis Bank Ltd. and posted at

Gohana Branch, Sonapat. The petitioner is a businessman and settled in his business at Gohana.

5. As far as High Court directions are concerned, it is no longer necessary to intervene since Ms. Rekha Khanna is in Court and states that she is in lawful relationship with her husband at Gohana.

6. Mr. Jain on behalf of his clients undertakes that they would not disturb the peace of mind of the couple and will not precipitate any overt or covert action, which may cause trouble to the petitioner and Ms. Rekha Khanna.

7. In case, despite undertaking respondents No.4 & 5 disturb the petitioner and his wife, the latter are free to make an application for revival of the present petition to bring to the notice of the Court any can be for worthy regarding this case.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that personal belongings of Rekha Khanna are still lying in her parental house at Gohana.

9. Respondent No.4 & 5 will ensure that she is permitted to take back her belongings including I-card, ATM, mobile phone and personal papers etc. and take them away.

10. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

04.07.2017
sonia

1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	Yes/No