

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14209 of 2015

Date of Decision:-27.04.2017.

Ruby Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.5.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has sought for a direction to take necessary action against the 5th respondent's selection and appointment to the post of Anganwari Worker and further sought for a direction to consider her name for selection and appointment to the post of Anganwari Worker in place of 5th respondent. Perusal of the reply statement filed on behalf of the official respondent Nos.1 to 3, it is evident that the 5th respondent's services have been terminated vide communication dated 29.7.2016. In view of the said development, first grievance relating to the petitioner has been settled by the competent authority. Insofar as second grievance is concerned, namely consideration of the petitioner's name for selection and appointment to the post of Anganwari Worker in place of 5th respondent is concerned, the

concerned respondent is required to take a decision. Accordingly, the concerned respondent is hereby directed to consider the petitioner's name for selection and appointment of the post of Angangwari Worker in place of 5th respondent within a period of six weeks from today.

Petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

April 27, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.