

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.218-b

Civil Writ Petition No. 13218 of 2016
DECIDED ON: October 10, 2017

DROPATI DEVI

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. K.L. Dhingra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram Tilak Redhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to pay interest @ 12% per annum to the petitioner from the date of her retirement till the date of payment on retiral benefits.

2. Concededly, interest on the delayed payment has already been made by the respondents in CWP No.4996 of 2016 captioned as ***Savitri Devi vs. State of Haryana & ors.*** as well as other one CWP No.13047 of 2016 titled as ***Pushpa Rani vs. State of Haryana & ors.*** which have been dismissed as having become infructuous vide separate order of even date

Civil Writ Petition No. 13218 of 2016

and the relief claimed in the instant petition is also identical as those of the aforesaid writ petitions. The respondent is also the same, who has already granted the interest to the similarly situated employees.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents to calculate the interest and make the payment thereof within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order absolutely in consonance with the interest awarded in the aforesaid writ petitions.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

October 10, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes/No