

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14149 of 2015

Date of Decision:17.04.2017

R.C. Madan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. G.L. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sushil Gautam, D.A.G. Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, the petitioner has questioned the validity of order dated 8.6.2012 and order dated 11.12.2014 (Annexures P-1 and P-2) passed by respondent No.1. Further he has sought for direction to the respondents to convert the suspension period from leave of the kind due to duty period and grant of difference of salary and leave encashment and gratuity etc.

During pendency of this petition, the respondents have passed order dated 24.3.2017 while regulating the period from 19.3.2007 to 18.3.2008 as a period spent on duty instead of leave of kind due.

In view of the later development, petition do not survive for consideration.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not been paid the difference of salary during the suspension period in view of the order dated 24.3.2017.

Accordingly, the concerned respondent is hereby directed to settle the arrears of pay for the period from 19.3.2007 to 18.3.2008 within a period of three months from today. Further respondents are directed to

examine the petitioner's claim relating to grant of leave encashment etc. within a period of three months from today. If the petitioner is entitled in accordance with law, the same shall be disbursed alongwith interest @ 9% per annum on leave encashment benefit.

With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.

17.04.2017

rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge