

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.570 of 2017

Date of decision: 6th July, 2017

Suresh Kumar Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Petitioner in person along with
 Mr. S.S. Khurana, Advocate.

 Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
 for respondents No.1 to 3/State.

 Mr. M.S. Randhawa, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 6
 Ashwini-respondent No.4 in person.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

 Mr.Kamal Deep, Superintendent of Police, GRP, Ambala has come present in consequence of the directions of this Court and has felt remorse for the conduct of his subordinate officers and has assured the Court that no future remiss would be undertaken in compliance of the orders of this Court. The officer is discharged of his personal appearance before this Court.

 Renuka Garg, who has been produced by lady police official ASI Urmila Devi from Police Station GRP, Rewari under escort of Inspector Kawaljit and has been identified by her parents, the police and the lawyers, has made statement that she was married to respondent

No.4-Ashwini but on account of matrimonial dispute she left her husband and has already filed a divorce petition which is pending in a Court of law at Sonipat (Haryana). She has further brought to the notice of the Court that she is aged 23 years and has passed M.A./B.Ed. and does not even want to live with her parents, the petitioner side, and that she wants to live independently as per her own wishes and desires. In spite of the endeavours of the family of the girl, she has refused to accompany them.

In the light of the stand of the lady Renuka Garg, being a major, well educated who is able to understand her welfare and by virtue of the same she is free to go to and live as per her own wishes and desires. She has left the Court under protection of the police, who are directed to ensure due protection through her journey back wherever she wants to reside and thus, nothing survives in this petition.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions from his client wishes to withdraw the present petition.

Allowed to do so.

The petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 6, 2017
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No