

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14803-2014

Date of decision : 01.11.2017

Sanjay Kumar and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Jagjit Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl.AG, Haryana.

Mr.P.S.Poonia, Advocate
for respondents no.2 to 4.

Mr.Rajesh K.Sheoran, Advocate
for respondent no.5

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners has brought to the notice of this Court a public notice issued by the All India Council for Technical Education on 31.10.2017 (yesterday), published in The Tribune, effectively stating therein that all students who were enrolled with the institutions that were earlier derecognized by the Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India, would “stand recognized”, if they were enrolled upto 31.05.2013 with the institution as had been derecognized.

Mr.Poonia, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-Nigam, submits that the petitioners' cases would be considered afresh in the light of the aforesaid public notice, upon them furnishing proof of their date

the same institutions as are covered by the aforesaid public notice.

In view of the aforesaid statement, the affidavit filed in Court today on behalf of respondent no.5, with the said respondent stated to be present in Court as directed, becomes immaterial.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-Nigam.

Needless to say, if even after consideration of the petitioners' cases their claim is to be rejected by the Nigam, it would do so only by passing a detailed speaking order in that regard.

The claim of the petitioners be considered within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a representation by them.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

November 01, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No