

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-514-2017

Date of decision : 08.05.2017

Amandeep Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.K.L.Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By this petition, the petitioner had alleged that his wife, Divya Arora, who is stated to be the daughter of respondents no. 4 & 5, was being detained by the said respondents against her wishes on account of the fact that she had married the petitioner, also against the said respondents' wishes.

It has also been contended that after the petitioner and the said Divya Arora married each other, they had approached this Court by filing CRM-M-39033-2016, seeking protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no.3 & 4, i.e. the parents of Divya Arora.

That petition was disposed of by a co-ordinate Bench on 28.10.2016, with a direction given to the Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, to look into the representation of the present petitioner and the aforesaid Divya Arora and if it was found that they required protection of

In response to the notice issued in the present petition on 03.05.2017, Sub Inspector Raj Pal is present from Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula, as is the aforesaid Divya Arora, her parents and the petitioner himself.

The petitioner and respondents no.4 & 5 having been directed by this Court to go out of the Court. Thereafter the aforesaid girl, i.e. Divya Arora, has been specifically asked twice by this Court as to whether she wishes to reside with her parents or with the petitioner.

Both time, she has stated that she does not wish to reside with the petitioner and she wishes to go back to her parents.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

However, now in fact, the girl, i.e. Divya Arora, has stated that now she and her parents apprehend a threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of the petitioner.

Consequently, the Sub Inspector who is present from Police Station Pinjore, is directed to accompany respondents no.4 & 5 and Divya Arora back to their residence at Pinjore, after which the DCP, Panchkula and the SHO, Police Station Pinjore, would continue to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioner, respondents no.4 & 5 as also Divya Arora are duly protected, within the four corners of law.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 08, 2017.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No