

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14054 of 2015

Date of Decision: 27th September, 2017

Indus Towers Ltd.

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Vishal Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate,
for the respondents.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents has referred to a decision of this Court rendered in ***CWP No.8228 of 2015*** titled as ***Bank of India Vs. The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others*** decided on 21.08.2017 to contend that the present controversy is covered by this judgment.

Faced with this argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to deposit the remaining sundry charges by way of two installments.

Learned counsel for the respondents has not raised any objection in this regard.

Consequently, the present petition is hereby disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to deposit the remaining Sundry charges i.e. remaining amount out of ₹5,92,137/- by way of two installments in two bill circles which is of the period of two months.

27th SEPTEMBER, 2017

Vivek

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No