

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.218-a

Civil Writ Petition No. 13047 of 2016
DECIDED ON: October 10, 2017

PUSHPA RANI

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. K.L. Dhingra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram Tilak Redhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant interest @ 12% per annum from the date of retirement till the date of payment on retiral benefits.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, it has been pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has already granted the interest by the respondents and as such, instant petition has rendered infructuous.

3. Dismissed as having become infructuous.

October 10, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**