

240

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 449 of 2017

Date of Decision: 09.05.2017

Pawan Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. K.L. Saini, Advocate,
for respondents No. 4 and 5.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

On 24.04.2017, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner only wanted to see the welfare of his daughter Anjali.

Pursuant to notice of motion, Anjali, Ajay Chouhan and Pawan Kumar have come present in the Court.

The Court granted time to wife of the petitioner to interact with Anjali. After the interaction, the petitioner is satisfied.

Mr. K.L. Saini, Advocate, learned counsel for respondents No. 4 and 5 submitted that respondent No. 4 has a rented accommodation in Patiala and he, along with Anjali, would go to the said house.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 4 and 5 further submitted that as on date there is no threat perception and apprehension, in respect of lives and personal liberties of Anjali and respondent No. 4.

In view of above, no further order is required to be passed.

Petitioner is disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 09, 2017
Apurva

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No