

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.234

Civil Writ Petition No.12983 of 2016
DECIDED ON: November 06, 2017

SUMAN LATA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. K.L. Dhingra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram Tilak Redhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to pay interest @ 12% per annum to the petitioner from the date of her retirement till the date of payment on retiral benefits.

2. Undisputably, petitioner stood retired on April 30, 2013 as Gram Sevika from the office of Additional Deputy Commissioner, Fatehabad on attaining the age of superannuation.

3. At the very outset of the arguments, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that all the retiral benefits have already been released/disbursed to the petitioner after a considerable delay but no interest on delayed payments has been paid by the respondents.

Civil Writ Petition No.12983 of 2016

4. Concededly, interest on the delayed payment has already been made by the respondents in CWP No.4996 of 2016 captioned as ***Savitri Devi vs. State of Haryana & ors.*** as well as other one CWP No.13047 of 2016 titled as ***Pushpa Rani vs. State of Haryana & ors.*** which have been dismissed as having become infructuous vide separate order of even date and the relief claimed in the instant petition is also identical as those of the aforesaid writ petitions. The respondent is also the same, who has already granted the interest to the similarly situated employees.

5. Instant petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents to calculate the interest and make the payment thereof within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order absolutely in consonance with the interest awarded in the aforesaid writ petitions.

6. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

November 06, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes/No