

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-328-2017

Date of decision : 03.05.2017

Raju @ Ramesh @ Ajay

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Varinder Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 27.03.2017, the reply of the Superintendent, District Jail, Faridabad, has been filed in Court today by the learned State counsel, which is taken on record. Its copy has been given to learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per the reply, a report was even called from the District Magistrate, Mathura, the petitioner being a permanent resident of that District in U.P., wherein it has been stated that the petitioner is involved in many criminal offences. Consequently, considering the aforesaid, the petitioners' case for parole has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since even hardcore criminals are entitled to parole as per the amendment made to Section 5A vide the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Amendment Act, 2015, his case may be considered in terms thereof.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in terms of Section 5A(2) of the aforesaid Act of 1988, as amended, if the amendment is applicable, and to take a decision within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 03, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No