

239

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12848-2016

Date of decision : 07.03.2017

Malkiat Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Financial Commissioner (Revenue), Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that the revision petition preferred by respondent No.4 against the petitioner in respect of the matter of Lambardar. The Financial Commissioner (Revenue), Punjab has decided the revision petition without affording the opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, much less, issuing of notice.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to the impugned order dated 16.02.2016 (Annexure P-4) which does not reveal of any compliance of natural justice.

Resultantly, the impugned order dated 16.02.2016 (Annexure P-4), in my view, is not sustainable as it is against the principle of *audi alteram partem* and the same is hereby set aside. The matter is remitted back to the Financial Commissioner (Revenue), Punjab to decide

the revision petition afresh after issuing the notice to both the parties as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four months from the receipt of the certified copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the parties and as well as the parties are directed to appear before the Financial Commissioner, Punjab on 03.04.2017.

With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands allowed.

07.03.2017 Yogesh Sharma	(AMIT RAWAL) JUDGE
	✓
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
	✓
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No