

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-243-2017

Date of decision : 07.03.2017

Rustam Ali

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Mohd.Yousaf, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 01.03.2017, an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Amargarh, District Sangrur, has been fled in Court today by the learned State counsel, stating to the effect that one ASI Gorakh Nath of Police Station Sadar, Ahmedgarh, along with one lady constable, visited village Malla, District Chamba, Himachal Pradesh, where the daughter of the petitioner is stated to be residing with the person that she has married of her own will, i.e. one Yusaf.

The statement of the daughter was also recorded as per the affidavit, a photocopy of which (in Gurmukhi) has been annexed along with the affidavit, the original of which has also been produced in Court.

It has been further stated in the affidavit that the daughter of the petitioner, i.e. Sumo Bano and her husband Yusaf, filed Criminal Writ

seeking protection of life and liberty at the hands of about 6 persons, though the petitioner was not made a respondent in that petition.

Be that as it may, that petition was disposed of by that Court on 20.01.2017, with a direction that the SHO of the Police Station concerned would provide security to the petitioners to take them to the place of their destination.

It is further stated by learned State counsel, on instructions, that in fact the daughter of the petitioner and her husband are being given protection by the Himachal Pradesh Police even at the village where they are residing.

In the aforesaid circumstances, with the daughter of the petitioner having approached the jurisdictional High Court where she is residing and having sought protection on account of the fact that she had married of her own free will and police officials of the Police Station where the FIR has been registered against the person whom she has married, having also visited the village and found that she has married that person and is living there of her own free will, nothing further remains to be done in this petition, which is consequently dismissed.

Learned State counsel submits that in view of the aforesaid investigation carried out, action would be taken on the FIR registered, as per law.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 07, 2017.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No