

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-210-2017

Date of decision :17.08.2017

Surinder Singh @ Manna

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukant Gupta, APP UT Chandigarh
for respondents No.1 and 2. .

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab with
HC Ranjit Singh, PS Sadar Khanna for
respondents No.3 and 4.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel representing respondents No.3 and 4 states that petitioner was granted parole after filing of the petition which they have availed and have reported back at Model Jail, Burial, Chandigarh.

In that way the petition has become infructuous.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, similar was the position on the last date of hearing.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel representing respondents No.3 and 4, the petition has become infructuous and is

dismissed accordingly.

17.08.2017

Gaurav Sorot

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

- 1. Whether reportable? No / Yes
- 2. Whether speaking / reasoned? No / Yes