

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No. 189 of 2017
Date of Decision: 02.05.2017**

Shanti Devi

.....Petitioner

vs.

State

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. K.S. Jetley, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, D.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as the alleged detainee, i.e. the son of the petitioner, Sandeep @ Chheku, has been found to be in what is now described to be legal custody, with a case registered against him under the provisions of the Railway Property (Unlawful Possession) Act, 1966, this petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such but with liberty to the petitioner, her son and her husband to lead evidence in an appropriate case to show that they were actually picked up/illegally detained much before the case was shown to have been registered.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, with the aforesaid liberty.

May 02, 2017
nitin

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.