

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(252)

CWP-12698-2016

Decided on: January 23, 2017.

Renu Devi and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

**Deputy Commissioner-cum-District Magistrate, Union Territory of
Chandigarh and others**

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Kochhar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Raghav Goel, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 3 and filed his power of attorney with no objection from Mr. Divanshu Jain previous counsel and stated that respondent No.2 may be permitted to withdraw her claim submitted before the Maintenance Tribunal under Section 21 and 22 of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 with liberty to file appropriate petition under East Punjab Rent Restriction Act, 1949.

An undertaking has been given by counsel for the private respondents that the private respondents will not implement the impugned order for eviction.

In view of said circumstances, this petition is disposed of as having rendered infructuous on the statement of counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

January 23, 2017

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No