

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**228**

**CRWP-1440-2017**

**Date of Decision: 20.12.2017.**

Subhan Ali

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

----

**Present:** Mr. Mayank Bansal, Advocate, for  
Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)**

Reply by way of affidavit of Moji Ram, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hodal, filed by learned State counsel on behalf of respondent No.1 is taken on record.

According to the reply, all the alleged detenues gave their statement that they were working on the brick kiln on their own will and no one had illegally confined them ever. The owner of the brick kiln has provided them all the basic facilities. Photocopy of the joint statement of the alleged detenues has also been annexed with the reply.

In view of above, the present petition, being without any merit, is dismissed.

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)  
JUDGE**

**20.12.2017**

*jitender sharma*

|                            |   |         |
|----------------------------|---|---------|
| Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes/ No |
| Whether Reportable         | : | Yes/ No |