

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.12525 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-10.05.2017.

Rashid

.....Petitioner

Versus

DHBVNL and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

CM-5642-CWP-2017

CM for placing on record short reply along with documents Annexure R-1 to R-4 is allowed subject to all just exceptions for the reasons stated in the application as well as affidavit.

Main matter is taken up on board today itself.

CWP No.12525 of 2016

The petitioner has questioned the validity of suspension order dated 01.06.2016 (Annexure P-3). During pendency of this petition, the respondents have revoked the order of suspension on 7.2.2017. Thereafter, the petitioner has joined service on 9.2.2017. Learned counsel for the petitioner respondents submitted that no departmental inquiry has been continued. Therefore, if the petitioner submits application for regulating the suspension period as duty, the same shall be considered by the competent authority within a reasonable period.

With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

May 10, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.