

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(209)

CRWP-1286-2017

Decided on: November 08, 2017.

Rajesh and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present: Mr. Vineet K. Jakhar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioners seek concession of parole for two weeks in order to enable them to perform the customary ceremony of *Bhaat* on the occasion of marriage of son of their aunt (BUA).

The parole has been rejected on the ground that the petitioners have not completed one year of imprisonment after conviction.

We have taken into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and are of the opinion that the religious customary ceremony of *Bhaat* is to be performed on 11.11.2017 by the petitioners on account of they being related to Vinod whose marriage is fixed for 11/12.11.2017.

Petitioner Rajesh is stated to be the elder son of maternal uncle of Vinod and his maternal uncle Rohtash has died.

The customary ceremony, in place of maternal uncle (MAMA) of Vinod, can be performed by Rajesh being elder son of maternal uncle Rohtash.

Though no enforceable rights vest in the petitioners for release on parole for said purpose but taking into consideration the facts and

circumstances of the case, it is ordered that petitioner No. 1 Rajesh will be taken in custody to village Madina, Tehsil Gohana, District Sonapat in the morning on 11.11.2017 to perform customary ceremony of *Bhaat* and will be taken back in the evening at the expenses of petitioner No.1 himself.

We do not find any reason to grant similar relief to petitioner No.2 Rakesh on the same ground.

Disposed of in the above manner.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

November 08, 2017.
harsha

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No