

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.209

Civil Writ Petition No. 14100 of 2014
DECIDED ON: September 22, 2017

MANJIT SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

PUNJAB AND SIND BANK AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 & 3.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the order dated December 18, 2010 passed by Assistant General Manager (P.F.) whereby the petitioner was denied the benefit of pension scheme as well as for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent authorities to cover the case of the petitioner under the aforesaid pension scheme.

2. On August 19, 2014, the following order was passed by this Court:-

*“Counsel for the petitioner prays for time to get the Memorandum of Settlement/Joint Note dated 27.4.2010, which has been relied upon in Annexure P4. The same be placed on record before the next date of hearing.
Adjourned to 18.9.2014.”*

Civil Writ Petition No. 14100 of 2014

3. After re-consideration of the matter as well as impugned order referred to above, final order dated December 06, 2016 has been passed, which was tendered before this Court on the last date of hearing.

4. According to the aforesaid order dated December 06, 2016, benefit sought by the petitioner through the instant petition has already been granted. Thus, instant petition has rendered infructuous. The same is disposed of as such.

5. However, petitioner still feels aggrieved against the aforesaid order dated December 06, 2016, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

September 22, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**