

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1258-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.11.2017

NITIN *Petitioner*
Vs.
STATE OF U.T., CHANDIGARH *Respondent*

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Petitioners in person with Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Advocate.
Ms. Ashima Mor, Standing Counsel for U.T., Chandigarh.
Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No. 4 to 7.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

In pursuance of the order 07.11.2017 passed by this Court , Janhvi has been produced by the respondent No. 4 to 7. The file of criminal petition bearing No. CRM-M-40109-2017 decided on 07.11.2017 by this Court was ordered to be produced to record the statement of Janhvi

As requested by the learned counsel for the petitioner and agreed by the opposite counsel, the statement of Janhvi has been recorded in the chamber of the undersigned.

In the statement, Janhvi has stated that she had married the petitioner on 22.10.2017 and had filed the protection petition before this Court. However, her parents came in the parking area outside this Court and she accompanied with them. Now, she is living with her parents with her sweet will.

In view of the matter, the present habeas corpus becomes infructuous and disposed of as such.

November 9, 2017

Suresh Kumar

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : **Yes** / **No**
Whether Reportable : **Yes** / **No**