

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14082 of 2014

Date of Decision:-16.03.2017.

Shakuntla

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No.3.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Short question for consideration in the present petition is whether the petitioner is entitled for any financial benefits on account of her son's death. Admittedly, the petitioner's daughter-in-law who has been extended certain benefits on account of the petitioner's son's death and, thereafter, petitioner's daughter-in-law got married. Consequently, the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefit or not. It is undisputed that the petitioner's husband was an Army pensioner. In view of this the respondents have rightly rejected the petitioner's claim that she is not wholly dependent on the petitioner's son so as to claim any monetary benefits. The petitioner has not made out a case.

Petition stands dismissed.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

March 16, 2017.

sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.