

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13983 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.01.2017

Jagroop Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. M.L. Saggar, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sunny Saggar, Advocate

Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate for
Mr. H.N.S. Gill, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Mr. Birinder Singh Khehar, Advocate for respondent No.6.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner who was plaintiff No.4 in civil suits has not disclosed the factum of filing the civil suits as disclosed in the written statement as Annexure R-5/5. The factum of compromise dated 20.09.2008 and the recording of the statement has also not been disclosed.

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the report (Annexure P-9) i.e. demarcation and resulting thereto, in mutation. There is provision of challenge to the demarcation and mutation under Section 45 of the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1887.

Faced with this situation, Mr. Aman Bansal, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty of this Court for withdrawal of the present writ petition.

Ordered accordingly.

11.01.2017

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No