

270.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1052-2017

Date of decision:04.10.2017.

MAKHAN SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Arvind Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Ms. Gurvir Kaur Gill, Advocate,
for respondents No.6 to 10.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus directing the official respondents No.1 to 3 to search the detenue, namely, Satinder Pal Kaur daughter of Makhan Singh, who is stated to be in the illegal custody of respondents No.4 to 10.

On 21.09.2017, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Learned State counsel states that the alleged detenue, namely, Satinder Pal Kaur has solemnized her marriage with respondent No.4.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.6 to 10 states that the alleged detenue Satinder Pal Kaur after

her marriage with respondent No.4 is residing in District Alwar, Rajasthan and they have been provided protection by the Jaipur Bench of Rajasthan High Court. She further states that respondents No.6 to 10 are relatives of respondent No.4.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in case the alleged detainee is married to respondent No.4, the petitioner does not have any objection thereto and only wants to meet his daughter.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.6 to 10 states that they shall produce respondent No.4 before this Court on 04.10.2017.

List on 04.10.2017.”

Pursuant to above order dated 21.09.2017, respondent No.4-Ganesh Singh @ Gopi is present in Court and he has been identified by Ms. Gurvir Kaur Gill, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents 6 to 10. Apart from respondent No.4, the alleged detainee, namely, Satinder Pal Kaur is also present in Court. Therefore, in order to ascertain as to whether she is staying with the private respondents happily or under some pressure, this Court requested Ms. Nitasha Munjal, Advocate, to interact with the alleged detainee-Satinder Pal Kaur in the chamber of this Court. Ms. Munjal informed this Court that Satinder Pal Kaur is not under any pressure of respondents No.6 to 10 and is happily staying with her husband, namely, Ganesh Singh @ Gopi i.e. respondent No.4.

The request of the counsel for the petitioner that Satinder Pal Kaur may be directed to meet the parents, was accepted by this

Court and accordingly, the petitioner-Makhan Singh along with wife

Balbir Kaur were allowed to meet the alleged detainee Satinder Pal Kaur for about 5 minutes.

The petitioner and his wife stated that they are satisfied and do not want to press this petition any more.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

04.10.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No