

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(102)

CRWP-1038-2017

Decided on: September 08, 2017.

Sonu alias Pardeep

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present: Mr. Anoop Singh Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks a direction to the respondents to release the petitioner on parole for one month but counsel for the petitioner has not been able to satisfy this Court regarding the entitlement of the petitioner under the relevant rules to get the concession of parole.

No direction, in view of said circumstances, can be issued at this stage.

The petition is dismissed with an observation that the decision taken on representation Annexure P-3 of the petitioner for parole will be communicated by respondent No.3 to the petitioner.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

September 08, 2017.
harsha

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No