

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 103 of 2017

Date of decision : 31.01.2017

Ravi

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.S. SARON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. J.S. Bains, Advocate for the petitioner.

S.S. SARON J.

Criminal writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking four weeks parole to meet his family members and for admission of the petitioner's children.

The petitioner is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.222 dated 31.08.2013 registered at Police Station Bhattu Kalan, Distt. Fatehabad for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, besides, Section 302 and 216 Indian Penal Code. At present the petitioner is confined at Model Jail, Chandigarh. Against his conviction and sentence, he has filed Criminal Appeal No.D-14-DB-2017. It is submitted that the petitioner has made a request for grant of four weeks parole on 01.11.2016. The case of the petitioner for parole was forwarded by the Superintendent, Model Jail, Chandigarh to the State of Haryana through Commissioner,

Hisar Division, Hisar and Deputy Commissioner, Fatehabad (respondents No.1 & 2) on 01.11.2016. Thereafter, reminder has also been issued on 22.12.2016. However, no decision has been taken. It is submitted that the petitioner seeks parole to meet his family members and for the purpose of admission of his children. According to the petitioner his case is liable to be considered in terms of Section 3(1)(d) of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988.

We have given our thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by the petitioner.

The parole case of the petitioner is pending consideration with respondents No.1 & 2. Therefore, it would be just and expedient that the same is considered and disposed of expeditiously. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents to consider and dispose of the parole case pending with them as expeditiously as possible and preferably within six weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

(S.S. SARON)
JUDGE

31.01.2017
sunil yadav

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No