

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 12226 of 2016

Date of Decision: 30.11.2017

SHIV SHANKAR

...Petitioner

VS.

PERMANENT LOK ADALAT, UT, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.

Present: Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Derabassi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaivir Singh Chandail and Akshay Sethi, Advocates,
for Mr. Suvir Sehgal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Ms. Aakanksha Sawhney, Advocate,
for respondent No. 4.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

The petitioner is praying for allotment of a dwelling unit under
‘The Chandigarh Small Flats (Amendment) Scheme, 2009’

After the notice was issued, the respondents have filed their reply
in which it is categorically averred that the case of the petitioner is to be
considered on submission of original form No. 21459.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the
original form No. 21459 was submitted by the petitioner but it appears to have
been misplaced by the respondents. This allegation is denied by the
respondents.

However, since, there is a dispute about the issue as to whether
the petitioner has submitted the original form No. 21549 or not, because the

petitioner claims to have submitted the original form but the respondents are denying the same, therefore, it would be just and expedient to direct the respondents to entertain the Photostat copy of the application form No. 21549 and decide the issue involved as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With these observations, the present writ petition is disposed of.

November 30, 2017

Suresh Kumar

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>No</i>