

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Writ Petition No.1005 of 2017
Date of Decision:08.09.2017**

Niranjan Singh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

...

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Learned State counsel submits that the residential premises of respondent Nos.5 to 10 were raided by the local police, but Sunita Rani was not found to be there. Police came across Jagtar Singh-respondent No.6, who made a statement that Sunita Rani was her grand daughter who had got married of her own with Niranjan Singh and her family members were searching for her.

Under the circumstances, no further action in the matter is called for as far as this petition is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would take the recourse under Section 98 of the Cr.P.C. and which he be permitted to do and he may be allowed to withdraw the instant petition.

The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

September 08, 2017

Jasmine Kaur

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes No

Whether reportable

Yes No