

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR (F) No.87 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.03.2017**

Munish Duggal

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Anika Gulati and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Amit Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

CRM No.7231 of 2017

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed and delay of 38 days in filing of the petition is condoned.

CRR (F) No.87 of 2017

This petition has been filed against the order dated 24.10.2016 passed by the District Judge, Family Court, Gurgaon, whereby evidence of petitioner-husband has been closed by order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that out of arrears of Rs.8,00,000/-, the petitioner has already paid Rs.6,50,000/- and the remaining amount will be cleared within three months.

In the given circumstances of the case, to impart complete justice to the parties and also to avoid deprivation of full opportunity to the petitioner, this Court deems it appropriate to provide some more time to the

petitioner to lead his evidence before the trial Court.

Resultantly, the impugned order dated 24.10.2016 is set aside and two effective opportunities are granted to petitioner-husband to lead his evidence before the trial Court, subject to costs of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited before the District Legal Services Authority, Gurgaon.

03.03.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No