

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

219

**CWP No.1214 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 24, 2017**

Sukhjinder Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL***

Present: Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The challenge in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to the order dated 06.11.2015 (**Annexure P-4**), passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh vide which the original application filed by the petitioner was dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 states that a message has been received vide letter No.NVS(Estt.)2458 dated 08.08.2017, conveying the approval for withdrawal of the resignation of the petitioner. It was urged that in such circumstances, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not confirmed the submissions made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 &

3 and submitted that the present petition may be disposed of as infructuous by granting liberty to the petitioner to file an application for revival of the present writ petition, in case something survives therein.

4. Ordered accordingly. However, it shall be open to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the writ petition, in case something survives therein.

5. No further orders are called for in Civil Misc. Nos.7097 of 2017 & 14176 of 2016 and the same are disposed of as such.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 24, 2017
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No