

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16301 of 2013

Date of Decision:-19.05.2017.

Naresh Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have questioned the validity of order dated 5.4.2013 (Annexure P-14) by which order of regularization dated 19.3.2013 has been withdrawn. The petitioners' services were regularized on 19.3.2013 by assigning particular date of regularization. The same has been withdrawn on 5.4.2013 and 10.4.2013, respectively. Both the orders are under challenge. During pendency of this petition, on 22.12.2016 once again, the petitioners have been assigned date of regularization as 24.5.2004 instead of 24.5.2008 and other dates. In view of the latter development, petition do not survive for consideration.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are entitled for earlier date of regularization from 2004 like 2001 etc. In this regard, petitioners are stated to have submitted their representation before

the competent authority General Manager Haryana Roadways, Sirsa. Such a representation is pending consideration for the last six months. Therefore, the General Manager, Haryana Roadways is hereby directed to take a decision and pass a speaking order whether the petitioners are entitled for regularization prior to 2004 or not and communicate the same within a period of 4 months from today. If the petitioners are eligible for regularization other than 2004 i.e. prior to 2004, consequential monetary benefits should also be extended.

With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

May 19, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.