

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR (F) No.48 of 2017
Date of decision: 08.02.2017**

Ankit Mathur

...Petitioner

Versus

Khushi @ Samiksha

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

This petition has been filed against the order dated 15.11.2016 passed by the District Judge, Family Court, Gurgaon, whereby petitioner-husband has been directed to pay interim maintenance at the rate of Rs.3500/- per month to respondent-wife from the date of filing of the application.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that while awarding interim maintenance, income of petitioner-husband has been assessed at Rs.10,000/- per month, as as that of a labourer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that vide judgment dated 17.02.2016, the petitioner has been acquitted in a trial under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC.

This cannot be a ground to alter the interim maintenance awarded to the respondent-wife as final maintenance will be granted after examining the evidence to be led by the parties.

Accordingly, after going through the impugned order, no ground is made out to interfere therein.

Dismissed.

08.02.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No